

Flat modules and Gröbner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings

Toshiro Hiranouchi and Yuichiro Taguchi

Abstract

We present basic properties of Gröbner bases of submodules of a free module of finite rank over a polynomial ring R with coefficients in a graded truncated discrete valuations ring A . As an application, we give a criterion for a finitely generated R -module to be flat over A . Its non-graded version is also given.

1 Introduction

A truncated discrete valuation ring (abbreviated as *tdvr* in the following) is a commutative ring which is isomorphic to a quotient of finite length of a discrete valuation ring (equivalently, it can be defined to be an Artinian local ring whose maximal ideal is generated by one element, *cf.* [3], Prop. 2.2). In this paper, we study Gröbner bases over graded tdvr's and their applications. In particular, we provide a flatness criterion for a module over a tdvr (Thm. 4.1) in terms of certain numerical invariants calculated from a Gröbner basis for the associated graded module. Such a study has been motivated by our work on the ramification theory of tdvr's ([3], [4]).

In Section 2, we recall (following [1] and [5]) the general theory of Gröbner bases for submodules of a free module over a polynomial ring with an arbitrary Noetherian coefficient ring A . It is refined in Section 3 in the case where A is a graded tdvr, and we obtain a flatness criterion for graded modules over a graded tdvr (Thm. 3.3). A similar criterion in the case of usual modules over a tdvr is obtained in Section 4 by reducing to the graded case.

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative.

Acknowledgments. We thank the organizers, Jaebum Sohn and Hisao Taya, of the 11th Japan-Korea Joint Seminar at Sendai for inviting us to the Seminar and providing us with the opportunity to write up the results in this

paper. We thank also Takafumi Shibuta and one of the referees for pointing out some errors in the first version of this paper and suggesting remedy. Thanks are also due to Seidai Yasuda for his critical comments on our use of standard bases. The first author has been partially supported by GCOE (Kyoto University) and JSPS KAKENHI #21740015. The second author has been partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI #19540036. This work has also been supported by JSPS Core-to-Core Program #18005 (Coordinator: Makoto Matsumoto).

2 Gröbner bases over Noetherian rings

We recall the theory of Gröbner bases for submodules of a free module of finite rank over a polynomial ring with coefficients in a Noetherian ring following Chapter 4 of [1] and [5].

Let A be a Noetherian ring and $R := A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the ring of polynomials in n variables with coefficients in A . Let L be a free R -module of rank $r \geq 1$. Fix an R -basis $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ of L . Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{X} &:= \{x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n} \mid (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n\}, \\ \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{e}} &:= \{x_1^{m_{l,1}} \cdots x_n^{m_{l,n}} e_l \mid 1 \leq l \leq r, (m_{l,1}, \dots, m_{l,n}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n\}\end{aligned}$$

be the sets of all *power products* (or *monomials*) in R and L , respectively. Choose and fix a *term order* $<$ on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{e}}$; thus it is a total order on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{e}}$ satisfying

- (1) $X < \mathbf{x}X$ for any $X \in \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{e}}$ and any $\mathbf{x} \neq 1$ in $\mathbb{X}$;
- (2) If $X < Y$ in $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{e}}$, then $\mathbf{x}X < \mathbf{x}Y$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is known ([5], Prop. 3) that any term order makes $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{e}}$ a well-ordered set. For $X, Y \in \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{e}}$, we write $X \mid Y$ if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $Y = \mathbf{x}X$.

Any non-zero element f of L can be written uniquely as

$$f = a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_s X_s$$

with

$$a_i \in A \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 > \cdots > X_s \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{e}}.$$

Then we set $\text{lp}(f) = X_1$, $\text{lc}(f) = a_1$ and $\text{lt}(f) = a_1 X_1$; these are called the *leading power product* (or *leading monomial*), *leading coefficient* and *leading term* of f , respectively.

Definition 2.1. Let f, h be two elements of L and $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ a finite subset of $L \setminus \{0\}$.

(i) We write $f \xrightarrow{F} h$ if there exist $a_1, \dots, a_s \in A$, and $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s \in \mathbb{X}$ satisfying

- $h = f - (a_1 \mathbf{x}_1 f_1 + \dots + a_s \mathbf{x}_s f_s)$,
- $\text{lp}(f) = \mathbf{x}_i \text{lp}(f_i)$ for all i such that $a_i \neq 0$, and
- $\text{lt}(f) = a_1 \mathbf{x}_1 \text{lt}(f_1) + \dots + a_s \mathbf{x}_s \text{lt}(f_s)$.

(ii) We say that f *reduces to h modulo F* , and write $f \xRightarrow{F} h$, if there exist finitely many elements $h_1, \dots, h_t \in L$ such that

$$f \xrightarrow{F} h_1 \xrightarrow{F} h_2 \xrightarrow{F} \dots \xrightarrow{F} h_t \xrightarrow{F} h.$$

We say that f *reduces strictly to h* if $f \xRightarrow{F} h$ and $\text{lp}(h) < \text{lp}(f)$.

Remarks. (1) If $f \xRightarrow{F} h$ for $f, h \in L$, then we have $f \equiv g \pmod{\langle F \rangle}$, where $\langle F \rangle$ denotes the R -submodule of L generated by F .

(2) For any f and F as above, there exists a “minimal reduction” of f modulo F , by which we mean an element $f_0 \in L$ to which f reduces modulo F and which does not reduce strictly any more. This can be proved by induction on $\text{lp}(f)$, upon noticing the fact that the set $\mathbb{X}_e$ is well-ordered with respect to $<$.

For a subset $G \subset L$, we denote by $\text{Lt}(G)$ the submodule of L generated by the leading terms of all elements in G .

The following theorem is fundamental for our purposes:

Theorem 2.2 ([5], Thm. 14, Cor. 15). *Let M be a non-zero R -submodule of L . Then there exists a finite subset $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ of $M \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following equivalent conditions:*

(a) $\text{Lt}(G) = \text{Lt}(M)$.

(b) For any $f \in L$, we have $f \in M$ if and only if $f \xRightarrow{G} 0$.

(c) For any $f \in M$, there exist $h_1, \dots, h_t \in R$ such that $f = h_1 g_1 + \dots + h_t g_t$ with $\text{lp}(f) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq t} (\text{lp}(h_i) \text{lp}(g_i))$.

Definition 2.3. Let M be a non-zero R -submodule of L . A finite subset G of $M \setminus \{0\}$ as in the above theorem is called a *Gröbner basis for M* . A finite subset G of $L \setminus \{0\}$ is called a *Gröbner basis (in L)* if it is a Gröbner basis for some non-zero R -submodule of L .

It follows immediately from the theorem that we have $M = \langle G \rangle$ if G is a Gröbner basis for a non-zero R -submodule M in L .

A Gröbner basis is not unique for a given submodule. Indeed, if G is a Gröbner basis for M , then any finite subset of $M \setminus \{0\}$ containing G is again a Gröbner basis for M (this follows from (a) of Theorem 2.2). In fact, even a minimal Gröbner basis (defined below) is not unique.

Definition 2.4 ([1], Exer. 4.1.9). A Gröbner basis G in L is said to be *minimal* if no $g \in G$ can be strictly reduced with respect to $G \setminus \{g\}$.

The minimality of a Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ implies in particular that there exist no divisibility relations between the leading terms $\text{lt}(g_1), \dots, \text{lt}(g_t)$.

Every Gröbner basis contains a minimal Gröbner basis. Indeed, if there is an element $g \in G$ which can be strictly reduced with respect to $G \setminus \{g\}$, we have $\text{lt}(g) \in \text{Lt}(G \setminus \{g\})$ (Def. 2.1). Hence $\text{Lt}(G) = \text{Lt}(G \setminus \{g\})$, and $G \setminus \{g\}$ is also a Gröbner basis (*cf.* Thm. 2.2). Repeating this process finite times, we reach a minimal Gröbner basis.

In the rest of this section, let M be a non-zero R -submodule of L . Choose a Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ for M . For each j , write $g_j = c_j X_j + \dots$ with $c_j = \text{lc}(g_j)$ and $X_j = \text{lp}(g_j)$. For each $X \in \mathbb{X}_e$, let $\mathfrak{i}_X$ denote the ideal of A generated by c_j for all j such that $X_j \mid X$ (if there are no such j , put $\mathfrak{i}_X = 0$), and choose a complete set of coset representatives C_X for $A/\mathfrak{i}_X$ containing 0. For each $X \in \mathbb{X}_e$, choose and fix an element $\tilde{X} \in L$ of the form

$$(1) \quad \tilde{X} = X + h \quad \text{with} \quad \text{lp}(h) < X.$$

Then the family $(\tilde{X})_{X \in \mathbb{X}_e}$ forms an A -basis of L . Define the set T_G of *totally reduced* vectors by

$$T_G := \left\{ \sum_{X \in \mathbb{X}_e} c_X \tilde{X} \mid c_X \in C_X \right\} \subset L.$$

The set T_G depends on the choice of G , $(C_X)_{X \in \mathbb{X}_e}$, and $(\tilde{X})_{X \in \mathbb{X}_e}$. We write this set T_G formally as

$$T_G = \bigoplus_{X \in \mathbb{X}_e} C_X \tilde{X}.$$

Theorem 2.5 (*cf.* [1], Thm. 4.3.3). *The projection map $L \rightarrow L/M$ induces a bijection of sets*

$$\rho: T_G \xrightarrow{\simeq} L/M.$$

Proof. First we prove the injectivity of ρ . Let f and h be two different elements of T_G . Then any non-zero term of $f-h$ has coefficient $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{i}_X}$, and hence $f-h$ cannot be reduced strictly modulo G any more. By Theorem 2.2, we have $f-h \notin M$.

Next we prove the surjectivity of ρ . Given an $f \in L$, we shall find an $f_0 \in T_G$ such that $f \xrightarrow{G} f_0$ by induction on $\text{lp}(f)$. This is trivial if $f = 0$. Suppose $f \neq 0$. Let $c = \text{lc}(f)$ and $X = \text{lp}(f)$. Then there exists $c_X \in C_X$ such that $c \equiv c_X \pmod{I_X}$. Write $c = c_X + \sum_j d_j c_j$ with $d_j \in A$, the sum being over those j such that $X_j \mid X$. Write $X = \mathbf{x}_j X_j$ with $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{X}$ for such j . Then we have

$$f = c_X X + \sum_j d_j \mathbf{x}_j g_j + f_1$$

with some $f_1 \in L$ such that $\text{lp}(f_1) < \text{lp}(f)$. If $\tilde{X} = X + h$ with $\text{lp}(h) < X$, then

$$f = c_X \tilde{X} + \sum_j d_j \mathbf{x}_j g_j + f_2$$

with $f_2 := f_1 - c_X h$. Since $\text{lp}(f_2) < \text{lp}(f)$, the induction proceeds. $\square$

3 Flatness of modules over a graded tdvr

Let k be a field. In this section, we consider the case where the base ring A is a *graded tdvr*, by which we mean a graded ring $A = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < a} A_i$ of length¹ $a \leq \infty$ such that the degree- i submodule A_i is a one-dimensional vector space over $A_0 = k$ generated by $(A_1)^i$. For example, the graded ring associated with a tdvr is a graded tdvr (see Sect. 4). By choosing a non-zero element $\varpi \in A_1$, we may and do identify A with the truncated polynomial algebra $k[\varpi]/(\varpi^a)$ (or the localized polynomial algebra $k[\varpi]_{(\varpi)}$ if $a = \infty$), and identify A_i with $k\varpi^i$. Then any element x of A can be written as $x = u\varpi^i$ with $u \in A^\times$, and $0 \leq i \leq a$ (with the convention $0^0 = 1$ if $a = 1$). The exponent $i \leq a$ is unique (we regard $0 = \varpi^\infty$ if $a = \infty$); we denote it by $v(x)$ and call it the

¹Here and elsewhere, the direct sum $\bigoplus_{0 \leq i < a}$ means the usual infinite direct sum if $a = \infty$.

valuation of x . Note that, if $v(x) = i$, then we have $ux \in A_i$ for some unit $u \in A^\times$.

Let R be the polynomial ring $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$; we regard it as a graded A -algebra $R = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < a} R_i$ by setting $R_i = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}} A_i \mathbf{x}$. Similarly, let L be the free R -module $Re_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Re_r$ and regard it as a graded R -module $L = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < a} L_i$ by setting $L_i = R_i e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_i e_r$. We have $R_i = \varpi^i R_0$ and $L_i = \varpi^i L_0$. Any $g \in L$ can be written uniquely as $g = \sum_{0 \leq i < a} g^{(i)}$ with $g^{(i)} \in L_i$; we call $g^{(i)}$ the *degree- i part* of g . A non-zero element g of L is said to be *homogeneous* of degree i if $g = g^{(i)}$ (i.e. if it is in L_i). When we say $M = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < a} M_i$ is a graded R -submodule of L , we assume that $M_i = M \cap L_i$. A Gröbner basis for a non-zero graded R -submodule of L is said to be *homogeneous* if every element of it is homogeneous.

Lemma 3.1. *Any non-zero graded R -submodule of L has a homogeneous Gröbner basis.*

Proof. For any $g \in L$, there exists a unit u of A such that $\text{lc}(ug) \in A_i$ if $i = v(\text{lc}(g))$. If $(ug)^{(i)} \in M_i$ is the degree- i part of ug , then we have $\text{lt}(ug) = \text{lt}((ug)^{(i)})$. Now, if G is a Gröbner basis for M , by (a) of Theorem 2.2, we can replace each $g \in G$ by $(ug)^{(i)}$ as above to obtain a homogeneous Gröbner basis. $\square$

Let $M = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < a} M_i$ be a non-zero graded R -submodule of L , and fix a homogeneous Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ for M . For each j , write $g_j = c_j X_j + \dots$ with $c_j = \text{lc}(g_j)$ and $X_j = \text{lp}(g_j)$ as in the previous section. For each $X \in \mathbb{X}_e$, let $\mathfrak{i}_X$ be the ideal of A generated by c_j for all j such that $X_j \mid X$. Denote by m_X the length of $A/\mathfrak{i}_X$ as an A -module. In other words, the ideal $\mathfrak{i}_X$ is generated by ϖ^{m_X} . Then we have

$$(2) \quad m_X = \begin{cases} \min\{v(c_j) \mid X_j \text{ divides } X\}, & \text{if there exists a } j \text{ with } X_j \mid X, \\ a, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set $A^{<m} := \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < m} A_i$ for each $0 \leq m \leq a$ (if $m = 0$, then we put $A^{<0} = \{0\}$); this is a k -subspace of A , which, at the same time, we identify with the quotient $A/(\bigoplus_{i \geq m} A_i)$ of A and then regard as a graded A -module. For each $X \in \mathbb{X}_e$, as the representative C_X for $A/\mathfrak{i}_X$ in the previous section, we choose $C_X := A^{<m_X}$. To construct a good set T_G of totally reduced vectors, we choose $\tilde{X} = X + h$ as follows:

$$\tilde{X} := \begin{cases} X & \text{if } m_X = 0, a, \\ \mathbf{x}_j h_j & \text{if } m_X \neq 0, a, \end{cases}$$

where j is an index such that $X_j \mid X$ and $v(c_j) = m_X$, $\mathbf{x}_j$ is an element of $\mathbb{X}$ such that $X = \mathbf{x}_j X_j$, and h_j is an element of $\mathbb{X}_e$ such that $g_j = c_j h_j$ (such $\mathbf{x}_j$ exists because $X_j \mid X$, and such h_j exists because g_j is homogeneous; the h_j is unique modulo $\mathfrak{m}^{a-m_X}$). Note that this $\tilde{X}$ has the form of (1). The definition implies that $\varpi^{m_X} \tilde{X} \in M$. With these C_X and $\tilde{X}$, we define

$$(3) \quad T_G := \bigoplus_{X \in \mathbb{X}_e} A^{< m_X} \tilde{X}.$$

This T_G depends not only on G but also on the choices made in finding $\tilde{X} = \mathbf{x}_j h_j$ as above. It has a natural structure of graded A -module. It is flat as an A -module if and only if m_X is either 0 or a for all $X \in \mathbb{X}_e$.

Lemma 3.2. *The map $\rho : T_G \xrightarrow{\sim} L/M$ of Theorem 2.5 is an isomorphism of graded A -modules.*

Proof. It is clear that ρ is compatible with addition and k -multiplication. It remains to check that it is compatible with multiplication by ϖ . It is enough to check this on each $A^{< m_X} \tilde{X}$. This is clear on $A_i \tilde{X}$ if $i < m_X - 1$. If $f \in A_{m_X-1} \tilde{X}$, then we have $\varpi f = 0$ (because $\varpi A_{m_X-1} = 0$ in $A^{< m_X} = A/(\oplus_{i \geq m_X} A_i)$) and $\varpi \rho(f) = 0$ (because $\varpi^{m_X} \tilde{X} \in M$ by the definition of $\tilde{X}$). $\square$

This together with the presentation (3) implies the equivalence of (a) and (b) in the next theorem:

Theorem 3.3. *The following conditions on the A -module L/M are equivalent:*

- (a) L/M is flat over A ;
 - (b) $\{m_X \mid X \in \mathbb{X}_e\} \subset \{0, a\}$;
 - (c) For any j , there exists j' such that $c_{j'}$ is a unit element in A and $X_{j'} \mid X_j$.
- Furthermore, we have

$$\text{rank}_A(L/M) = \#\{X \in \mathbb{X}_e \mid m_X = a\}$$

if L/M is flat over A .

Proof. It remains to show the equivalence of the conditions (b) and (c). Note that m_{X_j} cannot be a for any j because $c_{j'} \neq 0$ for all j' . Then the assumption

(b) implies that $m_{X_j} = 0$ for any j . This together with the definition of m_{X_j} implies (c). Conversely, assume (c) and let $X \in \mathbb{X}_e$. If $m_X \neq a$, then we have $X_j \mid X$ for some j . By the assumption, there exists j' such that $c_{j'}$ is a unit and $X_{j'} \mid X_j$, and hence $m_X = v(c_{j'}) = 0$. The statement on the rank follows from (3) and Lemma 3.2. $\square$

If we further assume that the Gröbner basis G is minimal, then there are no divisibility relations between the leading terms $\text{lt}(g_j) = c_j X_j$, and hence the condition (c) in the above theorem means that all c_j are units. Thus we deduce the following:

Corollary 3.4. *If the Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ for M is minimal, then L/M is flat over A if and only if $c_j = \text{lc}(g_j)$ is a unit element in A for every $1 \leq j \leq t$.*

4 Flatness of modules over a tdvr

First we recall some basic notions on tdvr's from [3] and [4]. A *tdvr* is an Artinian local ring whose maximal ideal is generated by one element. The *length* of a tdvr A is the length of A as an A -module. If $\mathcal{O}$ is a complete discrete valuation ring and $\mathfrak{m}$ is its maximal ideal, then $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}^a$ is a tdvr for any integer $a \geq 1$. Conversely, it is known that any tdvr is a quotient of a complete discrete valuation ring ([3], Prop. 2.2). A complete discrete valuation ring may naturally be thought of as a tdvr of length ∞ . By abuse of terminology, however, we call also a discrete valuation ring which *may not necessarily be complete* a tdvr of length ∞ , because the theory below applies to any discrete valuation ring as well. It is known that a tdvr A is principal, and any ideal is of the form $\mathfrak{m}^i$ for some $i \geq 0$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of A . Hence, the graded ring $\text{gr}(A) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < a} \mathfrak{m}^i / \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$ is a graded tdvr of length equal to $a = \text{length}(A)$.

In this section, our base ring A is a tdvr; let $\mathfrak{m}$ be its maximal ideal and a its length. Let R be the polynomial ring $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and let L be the free R -module $Re_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Re_r$. Suppose we are given an R -submodule M of L . We are interested in the flatness over A of the quotient module L/M . It is known ([2], Chap. III, Sect. 5.2; [6], Thm. 22.3) that L/M is flat over A if and only if its associated graded module $\text{gr}(L/M)$ is flat over $\text{gr}(A)$, to which the results in the previous section can be applied. Here, the graded objects are defined by using the $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic filtration. Precisely stating, we define $\text{gr}(A) =$

$\oplus_{0 \leq i < a} \text{gr}^i(A)$ and $\text{gr}(L/M) = \oplus_{0 \leq i < a} \text{gr}^i(L/M)$ with $\text{gr}^i(A) := \mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$ and $\text{gr}^i(L/M) := \mathfrak{m}^i(L/M)/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}(L/M) \simeq \mathfrak{m}^i L/(M + \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}L)$, respectively. The latter is a graded $\text{gr}(R)$ -module, where $\text{gr}(R) = \oplus_{0 \leq i < a} \text{gr}^i(R)$ is the graded $\text{gr}(A)$ -algebra with $\text{gr}^i(R) := \mathfrak{m}^i R/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}R$. Note that we have $\text{gr}(L/M) \simeq \text{gr}(L)/\text{gr}(M)$, where $\text{gr}(L) = \oplus_{0 \leq i < a} \text{gr}^i(L)$ is the graded $\text{gr}(R)$ -module with $\text{gr}^i(L) := \mathfrak{m}^i L/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}L$, and $\text{gr}(M) = \oplus_{0 \leq i < a} \text{gr}^i(M)$ is the graded $\text{gr}(R)$ -submodule of $\text{gr}(L)$ with $\text{gr}^i(M) := (M \cap \mathfrak{m}^i L)/(M \cap \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}L)$. The set $\mathbb{X}_e$ of power products in L may naturally be regarded as a $\text{gr}(A)$ -basis of $\text{gr}(L)$, so that we identify $\text{gr}(L)$ with $\oplus_{X \in \mathbb{X}_e} \text{gr}(A)X$.

Now our criterion for the flatness of L/M can be stated as follows: Given an R -submodule M of L , find a set of generators of $\text{gr}(M)$, find a Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ of $\text{gr}(M)$, write $g_j = c_j X_j + \dots$ with $c_j X_j = \text{lt}(g_j)$ and, using these g_j , calculate the invariant m_X for each $X \in \mathbb{X}_e$ as in Section 3 (see (2)). Then the non-graded version of Theorem 3.3 holds as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *The following conditions on the A -module L/M are equivalent:*

- (a) L/M is flat over A ;
- (b) $\{m_X \mid X \in \mathbb{X}_e\} \subset \{0, a\}$;
- (c) For any j , there exists j' such that $c_{j'}$ is a unit element in $\text{gr}(A)$ and $X_{j'} \mid X_j$.

If the Gröbner basis G is minimal, these conditions are equivalent also to:

- (c') The leading coefficient c_j of g_j is a unit element in $\text{gr}(A)$ for every $1 \leq j \leq t$.

Remark. It is known that a flat module over a local ring with *nilpotent* maximal ideal is free ([6], Th. 7.10). Hence we have

$$\text{rank}_A(L/M) = \text{rank}_{\text{gr}(A)}(\text{gr}(L/M)) = \#\{X \in \mathbb{X}_e \mid m_X = a\}$$

if L/M is flat over a tdiv A of *finite* length.

A finite subset of $M \setminus \{0\}$ is said to be a *standard basis* for M if its image in $\text{gr}(M)$ generates $\text{gr}(M)$. We have an algorithm to compute standard bases (cf. [7]). It outputs a set of generators of $\text{gr}(M)$ if a set of generators of M is given as input data. By running Buchberger's algorithm ([1], [5]), we obtain a Gröbner basis for $\text{gr}(M)$, and thus the above criterion is applicable.

References

- [1] W. W. Adams and P. Loustau, *An Introduction to Gröbner bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 3, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra. Chapters 1–7*, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1989 English translation.
- [3] T. Hiranouchi and Y. Taguchi, *Extensions of truncated discrete valuation rings*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. (J.-P. Serre special issue) **4** (2007), 1205–1214.
- [4] ———, *Extensions of truncated discrete valuation rings II*, preprint.
- [5] O. Lezama, *Gröbner bases for the modules over Noetherian polynomial commutative rings*, Georgian Math. J. **15** (2008), 121–137.
- [6] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.
- [7] T. Shibuta, *Cohen-Macaulayness of almost complete intersection tangent cones*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 3222–3243.

Toshiro Hiranouchi

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University,
Kyoto 606-8502 Japan,
Email address: `hira@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

Yuichiro Taguchi

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University 33,
Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan
Email address: `taguchi@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`